

How Do Start-Up Firms Finance Their Assets?

Evidence from the Kauffman Firm Surveys

Rebel Cole
DePaul University
Chicago, IL 60404
phone: (312) 362-6887
fax: (312) 362-6566
rcole@depaul.edu

Tatyana Sokolyk
Brock University
St. Catharines, ON
phone: (905) 688-5550 ext. 4781
fax: (905) 378-5723
tsokolyk@brocku.ca

This version: May 27, 2013

Abstract:

We use data from Kauffman Firm Surveys to analyze the capital-structure decisions of U.S. start-up firms. First, we analyze whether start-up capital structure explains whether or not a firm will remain in business after its first three years. We find that firm using debt in their capital structure, and, in particular, business debt, are significantly more likely to survive. Second, we analyze whether start-up capital structure explains how fast a firm will grow during its first three years. We find that firms using debt, and, in particular, business debt, grow faster. In other words, the capital structure decision of a start-up firm does, indeed, matter, in terms of both its survival and growth. Having established why capital structure matters for start-up firms, we then turn to how start-up firms finance their assets. We find that, at start-up, the majority (55%) of firms rely upon personal credit, and also a sizable fraction of firms use business credit (44%) and trade credit (24%), but that a significant portion (24%) use no credit. Third, we analyze what factors explain a start-up's decision to use credit; its decision as to what type of credit to use (business or personal credit); and, conditional upon using credit, how credit is allocated across business and personal credit. We find that both firm and owner characteristics explain the use of credit.

Keywords: availability of credit, bank credit, capital structure, entrepreneurship, Kauffman, KFS, start-up, survival

JEL Classifications: G21, G32, J71, L11, M13

We are grateful to the Kauffman Foundation for providing access to the NORC Enclave. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Ewing Marion Kauffman Foundation.

1. Introduction

How do start-up firms finance their assets, and why does it matter? According to most corporate finance textbooks, the capital-structure decision—how to finance a firm’s assets—is one of the three most important decisions facing a financial manager; second in importance only to the capital-budgeting decision.¹ Yet we know very little about how the manager of start-up decides on the capital stack of various types of debt and equity she will use to finance her firm’s assets because most existing empirical studies of capital structure have focused on large publicly traded corporations, for which data are readily available.² In this study, we expand the capital-structure literature by analyzing the use of credit by entrepreneurial firms at the time of their establishment. We utilize data from the Kauffman Firm Surveys (KFS), which track a nationally representative sample of U.S. start-up firms from 2004 with annual surveys covering 2004 – 2010.

We provide important new evidence on why the capital structure decision of a start-up firm matters. First, we analyze whether capital structure explains whether or not a start-up will remain in business after three years or whether it will be forced to close down operations. We find that firms using debt in their capital structure are significantly more likely to survive than are firms using no debt; and we find that firms using business debt, as opposed to personal debt, also are more likely to survive. Second, we analyze whether the capital structure decision explains how fast a start-up will grow during its first three years of operations. We find that firms

¹ See, e.g., Brealey, Myers, and Allen (2011) and Ross, Westerfield and Jaffe (2012), two of the leading corporate finance textbooks used in U.S. MBA programs.

² Four notable exceptions are Brav (2009), who analyzes data privately held U.K. companies; Ang, Cole and Lawson (2010) and Cole (2013), both of whom analyze data on privately held U.S. companies from the Federal Reserve’s Survey of Small Business Finances; and Robb and Robinson (2013), who analyze data on U.S. start-up companies from the Kauffman Firm Surveys.

using debt in their capital structure grow faster than do firms using no debt; and we find that firms using business debt, as opposed to personal debt, also grow faster. In other words, the capital structure decision of a start-up firm does, indeed, matter, in terms of both its survival and growth.

Having established *why* capital structure matters for start-up firms, we then turn to *how* start-up firms finance their assets. We find that, at start-up, the majority (55%) of firms rely upon personal credit, and also a sizable fraction of firms use business credit (44%) and trade credit (24%), but that a significant portion (24%) use no credit at start-up.

Finally, we analyze what factors explain a start-up's decision to use credit; its decision as to what type of credit to use (business or personal); and, conditional upon using credit, how credit is allocated across business and personal credit. The richness of KFS data allows us to examine the relations between firm and owner characteristics and credit use.

Based upon our multivariate tests using logistic regression analysis, we find that firms are more likely to use credit at start-up when they are larger in terms of revenues and total assets, are organized as corporations, and have lower credit risk. Firms with competitive advantage and firms that provide products only are more likely to use credit, while firms that provide products and services are less likely to use credit. Firms with more educated primary owners are more likely to use credit. Black-owned firms are significantly less likely to use credit.

Among firms that use credit, we find that larger firms are more likely to use business credit but less likely to use personal credit; firms with more assets are more likely to use business credit; firms with better credit scores are more likely to use business credit but less likely to use personal credit; corporations are more likely to use business credit; firms with multiple owners

are less likely to use personal credit; black owners are less likely to use business credit; and firms with owners who work more hours in the firm are more likely to use business credit.

Why is our study important? According to the U.S. Department of Treasury and Internal Revenue Service, there were more than 23 million nonfarm sole proprietorships, more than 2 million partnerships with less than \$1 million in assets and more than 5 million corporations with less than \$1 million in assets that filed tax returns for 2006. These small firms are vital to the U.S. economy. According to the U.S. Small Business Administration, small businesses accounted for half of all U.S. private-sector employment and produced 64% of net job growth in the U.S. between 1993 and 2008. Also, recent research by Haltiwanger, Jarmin and Miranda (2010) indicates that the majority of all job creation is accounted for by start-up firms at their creation and that majority of job destruction is accounted for by start-up firms during their early years, when many of those firms fail. Therefore, a better understanding of what types of firms use credit and the sources of credit finance can help policymakers to take actions that will lead to more jobs and faster economic growth. Furthermore, a better understanding of credit use by young entrepreneurial firms during economic downturns can help policymakers to create an environment with fewer credit constraints for nascent entrepreneurs.

Our study contributes in several important ways to both the entrepreneurship and finance literatures. First, we contribute to the growing literature that analyzes data on start-up firms from the Kauffman Firm Survey. (See, e.g., Coleman and Robb 2009; Fairlie and Robb 2009; Cole 2011; Coleman and Robb, 2011; Robb and Watson, 2011; and Robb and Robinson, 2013). We present new evidence on the use of credit by start-up firms during their first year of existence. Second, we contribute to the strand of the capital-structure literature that focuses on privately held firms. (See, e.g., Ang, 1992; Berger and Udell, 1998; Cole, 2008; Ang, Cole and Lawson,

2010; Cole, 2013; Robb and Robinson, 2013). We provide new evidence on the mix of credit upon which privately held firms rely at start-up. Finally, we provide new evidence to the growing literature on zero-debt firms. (See, e.g., Strebulaev, 2006; and Cole, 2010). We document that about 24% of privately held firms are financed exclusively with owners' equity at the firm's start-up. Cole (2010) finds that about 20% of all privately held firms also are financed exclusively with owners' equity, no matter their age.

The remainder of our study is structured as follows. In section 2, we review relevant studies from the literature on the liability structure of small firms and develop hypotheses. In section 3, we describe our data and methodology. In section 4, we present our results, following by a summary and conclusions in section 5.

2. Literature Review and Hypotheses

2.1. Capital Structure of Closely Held Firms

Harris and Raviv (1991) review the theories of capital structure. The pecking order theory of financing demonstrates that capital structure is driven by firms' desire to finance new investments, first internally, then with low-risk debt, and finally with equity (Myers, 1984). According to the trade-off theory, a company chooses how much debt and how much equity to use by balancing the costs (i.e., the dead-weight costs of bankruptcy) and benefits (i.e., tax saving) of debt (Kraus and Litzenberger, 1973). A large body of empirical research has developed around these theories. We limit our review of the literature to the studies examining closely held firms.

Cole (2008, 2013) uses data from the Federal Reserve's Survey of Small Business Finances (SSBFs) to examine whether these theories explain the capital structure of small, privately held firms in the U.S. Small firms are limited in their external borrowing to financial

intermediaries such as banks, finance companies, and other business lending institutions. His results are broadly supportive of the pecking-order theory, in that leverage is negatively related to firm size, age, profitability and credit quality; and positively related to tangibility and limited liability. In a subsequent paper, Cole (2010) analyzes differences between small U.S. firms that do and do not use credit. He finds that about one in five small firms use no credit whatsoever; and that firms using no credit are significantly smaller, more profitable, more liquid and of better credit quality than borrowing firms. Cole concludes that this evidence is generally consistent with the pecking-order theory of firm capital structure.

Ang, Cole and Lawson (2010) also use data from the Fed's SSBFs to analyze capital structure at privately held U.S. firms, but limit their analysis to firms owned entirely by a single individual. They find that personal risk tolerances account for 30% – 60% of the explained variation in capital structure at these firms, and that leverage is positively related to owner age, experience, and sophistication, as well as to firm size and the number of financial institutions with which the firm has relationships.

Robb and Robinson (2013) use data from the Kauffman Firm Surveys to document that, in contrast to the widely-held view about entrepreneurial finance, outside debt is extremely important at the earliest stage of a firm's life. They document that the largest part of total financial capital comes from outside debt, followed by: owners' equity, insider debt s, outside equity, and owner debt. Surprisingly, the least used source of capital at the earliest stage of business development is inside equity. Robb and Robinson (2013) conclude that newly founded firms rely heavily on formal debt financing rather than on informal funding from friends and family.

Our study complements Robb and Robinson (2013) by providing additional analysis of the use of debt by start-up firms, adding clarity to the picture that Robb and Robinson (2013) began to paint. We focus on the use of credit by start-up firms.

2.2. *Hypotheses Development*

Our primary hypotheses relate to the differences between firms that use credit (“use-credit firms”) and firms that use no credit (“no-credit firms”). This eliminates a large number of potential explanatory variables, such as firm leverage and the outcome of the firm’s most recent loan application, as these variables can only take on meaningful values when the firm uses credit. However, there remain a large number of variables of interest that we can use to test our hypotheses—many of which are tied to the pecking-order theory and trade-off theory of capital structure.

The pecking-order theory of capital structure suggests that profitable firms, firms with more “financial slack,” and firms in certain industries that require little in the way of tangible assets use less debt than other firms. We also expect that corporations, since they enjoy limited liability, should be more likely to use credit than firms with other forms of business organization.

Behavior finance suggests that owners of no-credit firms act irrationally, as these firms are failing to take advantage of either the interest-free financing from typical trade credit terms or the debt-tax shield from bank financing, as well as the opportunity to leverage up their return on equity. The managers of these firms may simply be financially unsophisticated, or may have an irrational aversion to debt of any form and prefer to pay cash for all purchases. We hypothesize that no-credit firms are more likely to be located in rural areas, and have owners

with less experience and less education than other types of firms. We also examine whether the primary owner's race or gender determine the firm's use of credit.

3. Data and Methodology

3.1 Data and Sample Description

We use data from the Kauffman Firm Survey (KFS) to examine the use of credit by start-up firms. This annual survey follows 4,928 privately held firms that were established in 2004. Currently, the survey results are available for the baseline year (2004) and seven follow-up years (2005 – 2011), with the final 2012 results to be released at some time during 2014. The KFS identified start-up firms by using a random sample from Dun & Bradstreet's database list of new businesses established during 2004, excluding wholly owned subsidiaries of existing businesses, businesses inherited from someone else, not-for-profit organizations, and firms that had any kind of business activity prior to 2004. The KFS represents the largest and the most comprehensive data on U.S. start-up firms. Along with detailed information on the firm's use of credit, the KFS provides data on various firm and owner characteristics. (For more detailed information about the KFS data, see Ballou *et al.*, 2008; and DesRoche *et al.*, 2012). The richness of the KFS data allows us to explore the relation between the use of different types of credit at the firm's start-up and subsequent firm performance and to identify what types of firms use credit and different types of credit at the firm's start-up.

Similar to prior studies using the KFS data, we define a firm's primary owner as the firm's owner who has the highest percentage ownership.³ In cases where two or more owners have the same percent ownership, the owner who works the most hours in the firm is defined as

³ See, e.g., Ballou *et al.* (2008) and Robb *et al.* (2009). The KFS provides information on the ten largest percentage owners of each firm.

the primary owner. In cases where two or more owners report the same ownership and the same number of work hours, a series of other variables (i.e., owner's education, age, work experience, amount of initial equity invested, other start-up experience, and race) is used to create a ranking of owners in order to define the primary owner.

Firm characteristics examined in the study include form of business organization, financial measures, intellectual property, competitive advantage, industry, and ownership structure. Owner characteristics include age, gender, race, education, prior business and start-up experience of the primary owner, and the number of hours per week the primary owner works in the firm. In some specifications, we also control for other sources of capital, such as, owners' equity, outsider equity, and insider debt. Table 1 provides definitions of variables used in the study.

Table 2 presents sample descriptive statistics of start-up firms using data from the 2004 KFS. On average, start-up firms generated about \$230,000 in revenues, \$486 in net income (this is not a typo), and had \$347,000 in total assets by the end of 2004. The average level of cash and tangible assets by the end of the start-up year was \$38,000 and \$187,000, respectively. The financial characteristics of a median firm, however, are quite different from the characteristics of an average firm. The median firm generated only \$7,500 in revenues, reported a net loss of \$300, had \$20,000 in total assets, \$2,000 in cash, and \$5,000 in tangible assets. The 25th percentile start-up firm had values of zero for revenue, cash, current assets, and tangible assets, and reported a net loss of \$10,000. The 75th percentile start-up firm had values for revenues and assets that are substantially smaller than the sample averages, indicative of the highly skewed distributions for these financial variables. The table also shows that the standard deviations for firm variables are very large. These observations demonstrate that the distribution of start-up

firms is highly skewed with the potential presence of significant outliers. To address the skewness of the distributions, we take the natural logarithms of firm financial characteristics' when creating financial variables for our subsequent analysis.

Additionally, Table 2 shows that about one in three start-up firms is organized as a corporation and has more than one owner. At the firm's start-up, the primary owner, on average, is 45 years old, has almost 13 years of prior experience in the same industry, and has one prior start-up experience. One in four start-up firms has a female as its primary owner. Four out of five firms have a white primary owner; but less than one in ten have a black primary owner; one in twenty has a primary owner who is Asian or who is Hispanic. With respect to educational attainment, two out of three primary owners have some college education or hold a college (Bachelor's) degree, and one in five holds a graduate degree.

3.2. Methodology

In order to provide new evidence on the use of credit by start-up firms, we employ both univariate and multivariate tests. In all of our tests, we incorporate the survey sampling weights because the KFS sample is not a random sample, but, instead, is a stratified random sample where high technology firms are over-represented relative to firms in other industries.

We first explore whether the initial credit conditions (i.e., the use of credit at a firm's start-up) are associated with positive firm outcomes; specifically, survival and growth in terms of revenues. We then examine the distribution of credit use at a firm's start-up. Finally, we analyze what types of firms are more likely to use credit at a firm's start-up. In our analyses, we distinguish between personal and business credit, and between firms with limited personal liability and firms with unlimited personal liability of the firm owners.

3.2.1. Does Initial Credit Explain Firm Outcomes?

Robb and Robinson (2013) document that the portion of total capital financed through outsider debt is positively related to the level of revenue, assets, and employment three years after the firm's start-up. Our definition of credit use is different from the variable examined in Robb and Robinson (2013). While Robb and Robinson (2013) focus on the amount of outsider debt used by start-up firms, we focus on the indicators of credit use, and distinguish between the use of personal credit and business credit.

We explore the relation between the use of credit at the firm's start-up and two outcomes observed three years after the firm's establishment: survival and the level of revenues. With these performance measures, we are capturing the stability of the firm and its growth during the first critical years of newly founded firms. Furthermore, we test whether the relation between credit use and firm outcomes is different for corporations and non-corporations.

The first part of our performance analysis examines the relation between the use of credit at the firm's start-up and firm survival. Prior studies document that the first few years of firm operations are critical for firm survival. We explore whether the use of credit at the firm's start-up is associated with higher probability of survival during these first critical years. We also differentiate between the use of business credit and personal credit.

Although logit models are frequently used in finance to estimate the probability of an event, Shumway (2001) argues that single-period logit models produce biased and inconsistent estimates when dealing with multiple-period data. In contrast, survival functions (e.g., hazard functions) follow the firm through time and observe at which point in time it experiences an event of interest. Another advantage of survival models is that they incorporate data truncation; that is, if some events are unobserved because they occur beyond the end of the sample period,

they are taken into consideration through right censoring. While different types of models can be used for survival analysis, the Cox (1972) proportional hazard model is the most popular choice because it does not assume a particular distribution for the probability of survival times; thus, it does not require the exact specification of the baseline hazard function. It is a semi-parametric model that employs a maximum partial likelihood estimation method and has the following form:

$$h_i(t) = h_0(t) \exp(X_i' \beta), \quad (1)$$

where:

$h_i(t)$ is the time- t hazard of firm i ($t = 2005-2007$ in our analysis);

$h_0(t)$ is the baseline hazard function that is left unspecified and corresponds to the probability of an event when all explanatory variables are zero;

X_i is a vector of independent variables, which includes *Firm Characteristics*, *Owner Characteristics*, and *Other Sources of Capital*, corresponding to firm i ;

β is a vector of coefficients to be estimated.

The hazard rate is the probability that firm i will be out of business at the end of year t , conditioning on firm i surviving up to time t .

In our analysis of revenues, we use the level of revenue from 2007 KFS as our dependent variable; and we use credit, firm, owner characteristics, and information about the other sources of capital from 2004 KFS as independent variables. We examine the firm's use of credit in a multivariate framework using the following Weighted-Least-Squares (WLS) regression:

$$\text{Revenue} = f(\text{Credit}, \text{Firm Characteristics}, \text{Owner Characteristics}, \text{Other Sources of Capital}) \quad (2)$$

where:

Revenue is the dependent variable, measured as the natural logarithm of one plus the level of revenue three years after the firm's start-up;⁴

Credit indicates whether the firm used any type of credit at the firm's start-up; in the analysis that differentiates the use of personal credit from the use of business credit, the *Credit* variable is replaced with the indicators *Business Credit* and *Personal Credit*;

Firm Characteristics is a vector of firm-specific variables, such as credit score, size, form of business organization, intellectual property, competitive advantage, industry;

Owner Characteristics is a vector of variables related to the primary owner, such as prior work and start-up experience, the number of hours worked in the firm, age, age², education, race, ethnicity, and gender; and

Other Sources of Capital is a vector of variables that indicate whether a firm used trade credit, insider equity, outside equity, or insider debt; it also includes the outsider debt and owners' equity, both scaled by total capital (debt plus equity).

We provide definitions for our variable in Table 1.

3.2.2. *Distribution of Firms by Use of Different Types of Credit at the Firm's Start-Up*

In the next part of our analysis, we examine the distribution of firms by use of credit. A firm is classified as using credit if the firm reported using business, personal, or trade credit in the 2004 survey.

We then differentiate between business and personal credit. A firm is classified as using business credit if the firm reported using credit in any of the following categories: business bank

⁴ For firms that do not report the amount of 2007 revenues, we use the mid-point value of the reported 2007 revenue range if it is available. Our results do not qualitatively change if we exclude these firms from our analysis. We add one to the level of revenue before taking the natural logarithm to avoid creating a missing value for a firm with zero level of revenues.

loan, business credit line, business loan from nonbank institutions, business credit card, business credit card issued on owner's name, or business loan from the government, other businesses, or other sources. A firm is classified as using personal credit if the firm reported using credit in any of the following categories: personal bank loan by the primary owner, or by other owners; and the primary owner's, or the other owners' use of personal credit cards for business purposes. This classification scheme distinguishes financing through the personal balance sheet of the entrepreneur(s) from financing through the business balance sheet of the firm.

We explore the distribution of firms by use of different types of credit at the firm's start-up. We examine the percentage of firms that use credit and different types of credit; the percentage of firms that use only one type of credit; and the percentage of firms that use a combination of credit types. We then examine the differences in credit use and credit types between corporations and non-corporations.

3.2.3. Factors Explaining the Use of Credit

In the final part of our analysis, we examine what types of firms are more likely to use credit and different types of credit at the firm's start-up. We first conduct univariate tests for differences in means of various firm and owner characteristics between firms that do, and firms that do not, use credit. For those firms that do use credit, we analyze differences in firm and owner characteristics between firms that use business credit and firms that use personal credit.

In a multivariate analysis, we estimate a bivariate probit model with sample selection. Our selection equation estimates the probability of using credit; in our outcome equation, we estimate the probability of using business (personal) credit, conditional upon using any type of credit. We use a probit model because our dependent variables are binary and we use a bivariate

probit selection model in order to account for a non-random selection mechanism operating on those firms that decide to use credit and choose whether to use business or personal credit. The bivariate probit model consists of two equations

$$y_1^* = \beta_1' X_1 + \epsilon_1, y_1 = \text{sign}(y_1^*) \quad (3)$$

and

$$y_2^* = \beta_2' X_2 + \epsilon_2, y_2 = \text{sign}(y_2^*) \quad (4)$$

where:

$$\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \sim \text{Bivariate Normal}(0,0,1,1,\rho)$$

In the bivariate probit selection model, (y_1, x_1) are only observed when y_2 is equal to one, so the error terms in eq. (3) and eq. (4) must be re-specified as $\epsilon_j = \exp(\gamma_j, z_j) u_j$, where (u_1, u_2) have the bivariate standard normal distribution. The estimated correlation coefficient ρ (the correlation between error terms ϵ_1 and ϵ_2) can be used to test for selection bias. If ρ is statistically significant, then we can reject the null hypothesis that selection bias is not present. X is a vector of independent variables as described above in equation (1), and β_1, β_2 are coefficients to be estimated with Maximum Likelihood Estimation Method.

4. Data Analysis and Empirical Results

4.1. Credit Use and Firm Performance

In this section, we examine whether the firm's initial capital-structure decisions regarding the use of credit affect firm outcomes during the critical first three years of firm operations. We choose to analyze 2007 revenues and survival, three years after the firm's start-up, in order to facilitate comparisons with the results of Robb and Robinson (2013). We also analyzed revenues

for and survival up to 2008, 2009, and 2010, and find that our results are qualitatively unchanged from 2007.⁵

4.1.1. Survival

In Table 3, we present the results from our survival analysis and allude to the idea that firms that use credit at the firm's start-up are more stable, as measured by the hazard rate of going out of business. In hazard models, the regression coefficients give the proportional change that can be expected in the log of hazard rate, given the change in explanatory variables. The Table presents hazard ratios, which equal $100*(e^{\beta} - 1)$ and summarizes the economic significance of a given variable.

In column 1 of Table 3, the coefficient for *Credit* is a statistically significant 0.851, which indicates that a firm that uses credit at start-up has about a 15% lower hazard rate of going out of business; or, alternatively, a 15% greater survival rate. Furthermore, as shown in column 2, this relation is driven by the use of business credit; the coefficient for *Business Credit* is a statistically significant 0.848, while the coefficient for *Personal Credit* is not statistically significant in explaining the firm's survival rate.

We sequentially add a vector of *firm* characteristics, a vector of *owner* characteristic, and a vector of controls for use of other types of *financial capital*. Controlling for differences in *firm*, *owner*, and *other financial capital* characteristics (columns 3, 4 and 5), we find that the coefficient of *Business Credit* is statistically significant at better than the 0.01 level, and its level falls to 0.77 – 0.80, indicating that a firm obtaining business credit at start-up has a 20%-23%

⁵ These results are not reported in the Tables but are available from the authors upon request.

higher survival rate than does a firm unable to obtain such financing. As shown in column 5, *Business Credit* is the only significant capital-structure variable.

Reviewing our control variables in columns 3-5, we find that several are consistently significant at the 0.10 level or better. Firms with intellectual property, firms that provide product and service, firms with older owners, firms with better educated owners, and firms whose owners have greater prior experience in the same industry are less likely to fail. In contrast, firms that provide product only, and firms whose owners have a higher number of prior start-ups are more likely to go out of business.

In column 6 of Table 3, we replace *Business Credit*, *Personal Credit*, and the indicator variable *Corp* with a set of four interaction terms, where we multiply both *Business Credit* and *Personal Credit* by the indicator variables *Corp* and *Non-Corp*. This enables us to decompose the impact of business and personal credit into the components contributed by *Corps* and *Non-Corps*. In column 6, we find that the coefficient on *Business Credit*Non-Corp* is a statistically significant 0.639, indicating that Non-Corps obtaining business credit at start-up have a 36% higher survival rate. This is consistent with the signalling argument that firms able to obtain credit in the name of the firm are of higher quality.

4.2.2. Revenues

Table 4 presents the results from our analysis of 2007 revenues. Column 1 shows that the use of credit at the firm's start-up in 2004 is associated with higher levels of revenue in 2007, three years after the firm's start-up. When *Credit* is decomposed into its components of *Business Credit* and *Personal Credit* (column 2), we find that the business credit drives the positive relation between 2004 credit use and the level of 2007 revenues.

In columns 3 – 5, we sequentially add a vector of *firm* characteristics, a vector of *owner* characteristic, and a vector of controls for use of other types of *financial capital*. Controlling for differences in *firm* and *owner* characteristics (columns 3 and 4), we find that the use of business credit is positively and significantly related to 2007 revenues, while the use of personal credit is negatively and significantly related to 2007 revenues. Furthermore, as shown in column 5, we find that the indicators for 2004 use of trade credit and outsider equity both are positively and significantly related to the level of 2007 revenues, while the indicator of insider equity (i.e., equity provided by spouse or family members) is negatively and significantly related to 2007 revenues. The outsider debt variable used in Robb and Robinson (2013) (*Outsiders' Debt/Totcap*) is not significant.

In column 6 of Table 4, we replace our *Business Credit* and *Personal Credit* variables with interaction terms that multiply these two variables by our indicators for *Corps* and *Non-Corps*. This enables us to test whether our results are being driven by limited liability firms. As shown in column 6, we find that the coefficient on interaction term *Business Credit*Corp* is positive and significant, indicating that corporations using business credit at the firm's start-up perform better than corporations that do not use credit at the firm's start-up. However, for *Non-Corps*, there is no significant difference in performance between those that do, and do not, use business credit. Furthermore, we find that *Corps* using personal credit at start-up perform worse than do *Corps* that do not rely of personal credit at start-up.

Overall, the results presented in Table 4 show that the use of credit at the firm's start-up provides information about future firm performance. Furthermore, the relation between credit use and firm performance varies depending on the type of credit. One possible explanation is that the ability of a firm to secure business and trade credit at the firm's start-up indicates that the

firm is less capital constrained and, hence, should be able to grow faster than more constrained firms that cannot obtain such financing (see, e.g., King and Levine, 1993a, 1993b; Rajan and Zingales, 1998). Another possible explanation is that firms that obtaining business and trade credit at start-up are of better quality than firms unable to obtain such start-up financing. In other words, lenders assess that these firms have better prospects and, hence, are willing to provide credit. Use of business credit and trade credit at start-up is simply a signal for higher quality firms. This line of reasoning would explain why the use of personal credit is negatively associated with revenue level: firms that are not able to secure financing backed only by the firm's balance sheet (i.e., business credit and trade credit) due to poorer future prospects are forced to rely of personal types of credit. Alternatively, the negative coefficient on *Personal Credit* could indicate that the owners of firms that use personal credit at the firm's start-up are more "conservative" due to a levered equity position in the firm and prefer slower revenue growth than do less conservative firms.

In addition, Table 4 shows that firms with higher level of 2004 revenues have higher 2007 revenues. *Corps*, firms with competitive advantage, and firms that provide product and service have higher revenue three years after the firm's start-up. However, firms owned by female, black or Hispanic owners have a lower 2007 revenue. Whereas hours worked in the firm by the primary owner is positively related to 2007 revenues.

4.2. *Use of Credit at the Firm's Start-Up*

In this section, we examine the use of credit by start-up firms and compare the use of credit between firms with limited personal liability of the firm's owners and firms with unlimited personal liability. Firms with limited personal liability are labeled as *Corps* and include firms

that are organized as C-corporations, S-corporations, or limited-liability companies or partnerships (LLC/LLP). Firms with unlimited personal liability are labeled as *Non-Corps* and include firms that are organized as sole proprietorships or partnerships. In our sample, 30% of the firms are classified as *Corps* and 70% as *Non-Corps* (see Table 2).

Table 5 reports the percentage of firms that use different types of credit at start-up, based upon data from initial 2004 Kauffman Firm Survey. Column 2 reports results for the full sample, whereas columns 3 and 4 present results separately for *Corps* and *Non-Corps*. Column 5 presents the results of a test for significant differences in proportions of *Corps* vs. *Non-Corps*. Panel A presents the distribution of credit use for the entire KFS 2004 sample, whereas Panels B and C limit the analysis to firms that report using any form of credit (i.e., excludes firms that report zero use of credit). Among firms that use credit, Panel B presents the percentage of firms that use a single type of credit, while Panel C reports the percentage of firms that use various combinations of credit types.

As reported in Panel A, 76% of firms use some type of credit at the firm's start-up. This is quite similar to 80% of closely held U.S. firms of any age documented by Cole (2010), which suggests that entrepreneurial firms try to secure credit financing at the earliest stage of their formation. This finding compliments those of Robb and Robinson (2013), who report that a large portion of total start-up capital is financed by outsider debt. We show that three out of four firms use some type of formal credit financing, in addition, or instead of, relying entirely upon equity and insiders' (informal) debt.

The analysis of different credit categories shows that 24% of firms use trade credit, 44% use business credit, and 55% use personal credit. This shows that over half of start-up firms' owners explicitly take on personal liabilities beyond their investments in their firm in order to

secure financing for their new business ventures, consistent with Robb and Robinson's (2013) statement that many entrepreneurs hold levered equity stakes in their ventures. However, a sizable fraction of start-up firms secure financing in the name of the business itself. While it is possible that business credit is backed by personal guarantees and personal collateral, the fact that a majority of start-ups explicitly uses personal credit, while a significant minority uses business credit suggests that there are important differences in these firms and in their capital-structure decisions.⁶

The break-out of business credit and personal credit into finer categories shows that personal credit cards of the primary owner is the most common credit type used (46%), followed by business credit cards (over 25%). The results show that a smaller percentage of firms rely on bank credit: only about 20% of start-ups use personal bank loans taken out by an owner, only 9% use business credit lines, and only 7% use business bank loans. Our analysis complements that of Robb and Robinson (2013); they focus on the amounts of outsiders' debt, whereas we focus on the incidence of credit use and on the distinction between business vs. personal credit.

Our findings provide some important insights that contribute to the literature on credit use by start-up firms. For example, while Robb and Robinson (2013) show that the amount of credit card balance is small relative to other sources of funds, we document that start-up firms rely on credit cards more often than on any other type of credit financing. Alternatively, while nonbank business loans and government business loans are large in size (see Table 4 in Robb and Robinson, 2013), extremely few firms (less than 1%) receive either of these types of financing at start-up.

⁶ The KFS does not provide data on personal guarantees or personal wealth of the entrepreneurs in 2004 so we cannot directly test this proposition.

The results in Panels B and C, which exclude firms that do not use credit, closely resemble the results presented in Panel A, which includes all firms. Only 6% rely exclusively on trade credit, whereas 29% rely exclusively on personal credit and 16% rely exclusively on business credit. Panel C shows the portion of firms relying upon multiple types of credit: 24% use both personal and business credit, 13% use a combination of trade credit with either business (6%) or personal credit (7%), and 12% rely on all three types of credit

In addition to the analysis of credit use by all firms, Table 5 compares the incidence of credit use for *Corps* and *Non-Corps*. One of the main differences is that the owners of *Corps* face only limited personal liability for firms' debts, while the owners of *Non-Corps* face unlimited personal liability for their firms' debts. Our results provide some interesting insights. First, use of credit is significantly higher at *Corps* (80%) than at *Non-Corps* (71%). While this difference could be explained by greater demand or better supply of credit for corporations than for other business forms, it also is consistent with the notion that the owners of corporations who do not face personal liability are more likely to use credit, and, in particular, business credit.

In fact, Panel A of Table 5 also shows that use of business credit, but not personal credit, is significantly higher at *Corps* than at *Non-Corps*: 51% vs. 35% for business credit but 56% vs. 54% for personal credit. These results strongly suggest that personal liability is very important for owners of start-up firms and that, consequently, owners of *Corps* who enjoy limited personal liability, are more likely to choose to use business debt in their firm's capital structure.

Panel A of Table 5 also shows that personal credit is the most often used type of credit at the firm's start-up, even for *Corps*. Moreover, Panels B and C of Table 3 report that *Corps*, compared to *Non-Corps*, are less likely to rely entirely upon personal credit as the sole credit category (24% vs. 37%), and are more likely to use all three types of credit (14% vs. 10%).

Analysis of more narrow credit categories in presented in Panel A shows that corporations are more likely to use almost any type of credit: business credit cards and credit lines, business and personal bank loans.

4.3. *The Determinants of Credit Use*

4.3.1. *Univariate Analysis*

Table 6 presents univariate analysis of the differences in firm and owner characteristics between start-up firms that use credit (“use-credit firms”) and start-up firms that do not use credit (“no-credit firms”). Data are from the 2004 KFS. Panel A examines the differences in the full sample between firms that use credit and firms that do not use credit. Panels B and C limit the analysis to firms that use credit; Panel B analyzes the differences between firms that use and do not use business credit, while Panel C analyzes firms that use and do not use personal credit. In each of the three panels, columns 1 and 3 present mean values of the variables for firms that do, and do not, use that type of credit, respectively; column 3 presents p -values for a t -test of significant differences in means of shown in columns 2 and 3; and column 4 shows the number of non-missing observations for that variable.

Overall, our results in Table 6 show highly significant differences in the means of firm and principal owner’s characteristics for firms that do, and do not, borrow at the start-up. In terms of both revenues and total assets, business borrowers are significantly larger and more likely to be organized as *Corps*, whereas personal borrowers are significantly smaller and less likely to be organized as *Corps*. Using data on privately held firms of all ages from the SSBFs, Cole (2010) also documents that borrowers are larger than are non-borrowers. These results are consistent with the premise that entrepreneurs choose to organize the business as a corporation in

order to avoid the unlimited personal liability of sole proprietorships and partnerships. If the liability issue is an important factor in choosing the form of business organization, then it is not surprising that corporations try to avoid the form of debt that carries the largest personal liability, i.e., personal debt.

Firms that use business credit have higher business credit scores, whereas firms that use personal credit have lower business credit scores. This suggests that it is more difficult for firms with higher credit risk to raise funds without personal guarantees.

Finally, firms that use business credit are more likely, whereas firms that use personal credit are less likely, to have multiple owners. There are a number of potential explanations for this: for example, owners might want to avoid unlimited liability from personal borrowing when they have only a portion of residual cash-flow rights; and multiple owners provide access to the equity beyond the personal wealth of the primary owner, and this equity is a substitute for personal borrowing that entails unlimited liability; multiple owners complicate the underwriting of personal loan, especially if business collateral is involved. It also may be that the owner of a single-owner firm decides to borrow on personal account to cover business-related expenses so that she can avoid having to share residual cash-flow rights with other equity holders.

Table 6 also shows that use-credit firms and no-credit firms exhibit significant differences in the characteristics of the principal owner. Among firms that use business credit, the primary owner is less likely to be female or black, whereas, among firms that use personal credit, the primary owner is more likely to be female. These results complement prior findings that small firms owned by minorities experience more difficulties in obtaining financing than firms owned by non-minorities. (See, e.g., Coleman, 2002; Blanchflower *et al.*, 2003; Cole, 2009; Coleman and Robb, 2009; Fairlie and Robb, 2009; Robb and Watson, 2011).

Finally, Table 6 illustrates that the primary owner's education and work experience may affect the use of credit at the firm's start-up. Firms that use business credit are more likely to have owners with graduate degrees; and firms that use personal credit have owners with less experience in the same industry.

4.3.2. *Multivariate Analysis*

In Table 7, we move to multivariate analysis, utilizing a weighted bivariate probit regression model with sample selection to examine the firm's decision to use credit. In the first stage of the bivariate probit model (column 2), the dependent variable takes a value of one if the firm uses any type of credit and takes on a value of zero otherwise. Conditional upon using credit in the first stage, the second stage simultaneously estimates the probability of using business credit/personal credit; the results of this analysis appear in columns 3 and 4, respectively. In each of the four columns, we report odds ratios over *t*-statistics (in parentheses).

As shown in column 2 of Table 7, firms that use credit are significantly larger as measured by both revenues and assets. The economic significance of these variables is four percent higher odds of using credit for one percent increase in revenues, and an almost six percent increase in the odds of using credit for one percent assets.⁷ In general, corporations are 16% more likely to use credit than other forms of business organizations combined. Furthermore, firms with greater credit risk are less likely to borrow at the start-up. A one-step decrease in the firm's credit category is associated with 13% lower odds of obtaining credit. Firms with competitive advantage are 15% more likely to use credit. Firms that provide product only are

⁷ We add one to cash and revenue in order to avoid creation of missing values when we perform logarithmic transformations on those variables.

38.5% more likely to use credit, while firms that provide products and service are 19% less likely to use credit.

Table 7 also shows that several owner characteristics significantly relate to the probability of using credit at the firm's start-up. Firms with owners who have more experience in the same industry are less likely to borrow funds; but the effect is not economically significant. *Corps* are 15% more likely to borrow than *Non-Corps*, which is consistent with the limited liability afforded to business loans. Firms with worse business credit scores are significantly less likely to borrow, with the odds declining by 11% for each increment in the categorical credit score. Firms reporting that they have a competitive advantage are 15% more likely to borrow than other firms without advantage. Firms that only produce a product are almost 40% more likely to borrow than other firms and firms that produce both a product and a service are almost 20% less likely to borrow than other firms.

Firms with owners who are college educated are 20% more likely to borrow, while firms with owners who have a graduate degree are almost 60% more likely to borrow. Consistent with prior studies documenting lower availability of credit to minorities, our results show that firms with Black owners are more than 40% less likely to use credit at start-up. Finally, firms with higher owners' equity and firms with the contribution of insider equity are more likely to use credit at the start-up.

In columns 3 and 4, we present the results from the second stage of the selection model, which estimate the probability of using business credit or personal credit, respectively, conditional upon using any type of credit. These results document notable differences between firms that use these two different sources of credit.

Firms that are larger in terms of revenues generated by the end of the start-up year are more likely to use business credit, but are less likely to use personal credit. Firms reporting more assets are more likely to use business, but not personal, credit. Corporations are 34% more likely to use business credit, but are no more likely to use personal, credit. Firms that have multiple owners are almost 20% less likely to use personal credit, but no more likely to use business credit. Firms with worse credit scores are significantly less likely to use business credit and significantly more likely to use personal credit.

Our results also show that owner characteristics have significant effects on the probability of using a given type of credit. Firms that are owned by females are 18% more likely to use personal credit, but are no less likely to use business credit. Firms with highly educated owners are 20% likely to use business credit, but no less likely to use personal credit. Firms with Black owners are more than 30% less likely to use business credit, but no more likely to use personal credit. Firms that report using insider debt are almost 20% less likely to use business debt and almost 30% more likely to use personal debt.

5. Summary and Conclusion

In this study, we use data from the Kauffman Firm Survey provide new evidence on how U.S. start-up firms choose their initial capital structure and why this decision is important. First, we establish that the initial capital structure decision is important because it influence future outcomes in terms of survival and growth. Firms that use debt in their initial capital structure, and, in particular, firms that use business debt rather than personal debt, are significantly more likely to survive their first three years of operations and, if they survive, have significantly higher revenues.

Second, we document the initial capital structures that these firms choose for financing their start-up assets. We find that more than one in five firms report 100% equity financing of their initial assets. For the remaining 75% of start-ups, we analyze their sources of credit, which we classify into three categories—trade credit, personal credit, and business credit. We find that, at start-up, the majority of firms (55%) rely upon personal credit, but that a large percentage of firms also use business credit (44%) and trade credit (24%).

Third, we analyze what factors explain whether or not a firm uses credit in its initial capital structure; and, if so, what types of credit it uses (business or personal). We find that firms are more likely to use credit at start-up when they are larger in terms of revenues, have more assets, are organized as corporations, and have lower credit risk. Firms with competitive advantage and that provide product only are more likely to use credit, while firms that provide product and service are less likely to use credit. Firms with more educated primary owners are more likely to use credit. Black-owned firms are significantly less likely to use credit at start-up.

Among firms that use credit, we find that larger firms are more likely to use business credit but less likely to use personal credit; firms with more assets are more likely to use business credit; firms with better credit scores are more likely to use business credit but less likely to use personal credit; corporations are more likely to use business credit; firms with multiple owners are less likely to use personal credit; black owners are less likely to use business credit; and firms with owners who work more hours in the firm are more likely to use business credit.

Our study contributes, in several important ways, to both the entrepreneurship and finance literatures. First, we contribute to the growing literature that analyzes data from the Kauffman Firm Survey by presenting new evidence on the use of credit by start-up firms. Second, we contribute to the strand of the capital-structure literature that focuses on privately

held firms by providing new evidence on the mix of credit upon which privately held firms rely at start-up. Finally, we provide new evidence to the growing literature on zero-debt firms. We document that about 25% of start-up firms are financed exclusively with owners' equity.

References

- Ang, J., 1992, On the theory of finance for privately held firms, *Journal of Small Business Finance* 1, 185–203.
- Ang, J., Cole, R., and Lin, J., 2000, Agency costs and ownership structure, *The Journal of Finance* 55, 81-106.
- Ang, J., Cole, R., and Lawson, J., 2010, The role of owner in capital structure decisions: An analysis of single-owner corporations, *Journal of Entrepreneurial Finance* 14, 1-36.
- Ballou, J., T. Barton, D. Des Rouches, F. Potter, E.J. Reedy, A. Robb, S. Shane, and Z. Zhao, 2008, Kauffman Firm Survey: Results from the Baseline and First Follow-Up Surveys. Available at: <http://ssrn.com/abstract=1024045>.
- Berger, A., and Udell, G., 1998, The economics of small business finance: The roles of private equity and debt markets in the financial growth cycle, *Journal of Banking & Finance* 22, 613–673.
- Biais, B., and Gollier, C., 1997, Trade credit and credit rationing, *Review of Financial Studies* 10, 903-937.
- Blanchflower, D., Levine, P., and Zimmerman, D., 2003, Discrimination in the small business credit market, *Review of Economics and Statistics* 84, 930-943.
- Brav, O., 2009, Access to capital, capital structure, and the funding of the firm,” *The Journal of Finance* 64, 263-308.
- Brealey, R., Myers, S., and Allen, F., 2011. Principles of corporate finance. McGraw-Hill. ISBN 0078034760.
- Burkart, M., and Ellingsen, T., 2004, In-kind finance: A theory of trade credit, *American Economic Review* 9, 569-590.
- Claessens, S., Djankov, S., and Lang, L., 2000, The separation of ownership and control in East Asian corporations, *The Journal of Finance* 58, 81-112.
- Cole, R., 2008, What do we know about the capital structure of privately held firms? Evidence from the Surveys of Small Business Finances, *U.S. Small Business Administration Research Study No. 324*.
- Cole, R., 2009, Who needs credit and who gets credit? Evidence from the Surveys of Small Business Finances, In *Small Business in Focus: Finance. A Compendium of Research by the Small Business Administration Office of Advocacy*, July, 95-133.

- Cole, R., 2010, Bank credit, trade credit or no credit? Evidence from the Surveys of Small Business Finances, *U.S. Small Business Administration Research Study* No. 365.
- Cole, R., 2011, How do firms choose legal form of organization? *U.S. Small Business Administration Research Study* No. 383.
- Coleman, S., 2002, The borrowing experience of black and Hispanic-owned small firms: Evidence from the 1998 Survey of Small Business Finances, *The Academy of Entrepreneurship Journal* 8, 1-20.
- Coleman, S. and Robb, A., 2009, A comparison of new firm financing by gender: Evidence from the Kauffman Firm Survey data, *Small Business Economics* 33, 397-411.
- Coleman, S. and Robb, A., 2011, Capital structure theory and new technology firms: Is there a match? *Management Research Review*, forthcoming.
- DesRoches, D., Potter, F, Santos, B, Sengmavong, A, Zheng, Y., 2012. Kauffman Firm Survey (KFS) sixth follow up methodology report. Available at: <http://ssrn.com/abstract=2027044>.
- Fairlie, R. and Robb, A., 2009, Gender differences in business performance: Evidence from the characteristics of business owners survey, *Small Business Economics* 33, 375-395.
- Ferris, J., 1981, A transaction theory of trade credit use, *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 94, 243-270.
- Fisman, R. and Love, I., 2003, Trade credit, financial intermediation and industry growth, *The Journal of Finance* 58, 353-374.
- Giannetti, M., Burkhardt, M., and Ellingsen, T., 2011, What you sell is what you lend? Explaining trade credit contracts, *Review of Financial Studies* 24, 1261-1298.
- Haltiwanger, J., Jarmin, R. and Miranda, J., 2010, Who creates jobs? Small vs. large vs. young, NBER Working Paper No. 16300.
- Harris, M. and Raviv, A., 1991, The theory of capital structure, *The Journal of Finance* 46, 297-355.
- Heckman, J., 1979, Sample selection bias as a specification error, *Econometrica* 47, 153-161.
- Huyghebaert, N., Van de Gucht, L. and Van Hulle, C., 2007, The choice between bank debt and trade credit in business start-ups, *Small Business Economics* 29, 435-452.
- Jensen, M., and Meckling, W., 1976, Theory of the firm: Managerial behavior agency costs and capital structure, *Journal of Financial Economics* 3, 305-360.

- Kraus A., and R.H. Litzenberger, 1973, A state-preference model of optimal financial leverage, *The Journal of Finance*, 911-922.
- Meltzer, A., 1960, Mercantile credit, monetary policy and the size of firms, *Review of Economics and Statistics* 42, 429-436.
- Mian, S., and Smith, C., 1992, Accounts receivable management policy: Theory and evidence, *The Journal of Finance* 47, 169-200.
- Myers, S., 1984, The capital structure puzzle, *The Journal of Finance* 39, 575-592.
- Nilsen, J, 2002, Trade credit and the bank lending channel, *Journal of Money, Credit & Banking* 34, 226-253.
- Petersen, M., and Rajan, R., 1994, The benefits of lending relationships: Evidence from small business data, *The Journal of Finance* 46, 3-37.
- Petersen, M., and Rajan, R., 1997, Trade credit: Theories and evidence, *Review of Financial Studies* 10, 661-691.
- Robb, A.M., R. Fairlie, and D.T. Robinson, 2009, Financial capital injections among new black and white business ventures: Evidence from the Kauffman Firm Survey, working paper.
- Robb, A.M. and D.T. Robinson, 2012, The capital structure of new firms, *Review of Financial Studies*, forthcoming.
- Robb, A.M., and J. Watson, 2011, Gender differences in firm performance: Evidence from new ventures in the United States, *Journal of Business Venturing*, forthcoming.
- Ross, S., Westerfield, R., and J. Jaffe., 2012, Corporate Finance 10th Edition. McGraw Hill. ISBN 0077511387
- Smith, J., 1987, Trade credit and informational asymmetry, *The Journal of Finance* 42, 863-872.
- Strebulaev, I., and Yang, B., 2006, The mystery of zero-leverage firms, SSRN working paper, Available at: <http://ssrn.com/abstract=890719>.

Table 1
Variable Definitions

All variables, unless indicated otherwise, are from the 2004 Kauffman Firm Survey.

Credit variables:

Credit	Dummy variable, equals 1 if firm reports that it used either trade credit, business credit, or personal credit.
Business Credit	Dummy variable, equals 1 if firm reports that it used business credit. Business credit includes either of the following categories: business bank loan, business credit line, business loan from nonbank institutions, business credit card, business credit card issued on owner's name, business loan from the government, business loan from other businesses, business loan from other sources.
Personal Credit	Dummy variable, equals 1 if firm reports that it used personal credit. Personal credit includes either of the following categories: personal bank loan by the primary owner, personal bank loan by other owners, the primary owner's personal credit card used for business purposes, and the other owners' personal credit cards used for business purposes.

Other Sources of Capital:

Trade Credit	Dummy variable, equals 1 if firm reports that it used trade credit.
Outsider Debt/Total Capital	Outsider debt, divided by total financial capital (debt + equity). Outsider debt is as defined in Robb and Robinson (2013) and is the sum of balances of the following sources of capital: personal bank loan by the primary owner, personal bank loan by other owners, business bank loan, business credit line, business loan from nonbank institutions, business credit card, business credit card issued on owner's name, business loan from the government, business loan from other businesses, business loan from other sources, other individual loans.
Owners' Equity/Total Capital	Total equity invested by all owners, divided by total financial capital (debt + equity).
Insider Equity	Dummy variable, equals 1 if either spouse or parent provided equity financing.
Insider Debt	Dummy variable, equals 1 if either family, employee, or any firm owner loaned money to the firm, primary owner, or other owners.
Outsider Equity	Dummy variable, equals 1 if informal investors (i.e., angel investors), businesses, government, venture capitalists, or other entities provided equity financing.

Firm Characteristics:

Revenue	Annual revenue from sales of product or service.
Total Assets	Total assets (sum of cash, current assets, and tangible assets)
Net Income	Annual profit or loss (profit positive, loss negative)
ROA	Net income / total assets
Cash	Cash
Current Assets	Sum of accounts receivable and inventory
Tangible Assets	Sum of equipment, land/building, vehicles, other business property, and other assets such as intangibles
Credit Risk	Categorical variable (1 to 5) based on the credit score of the firm derived from Dunn and Bradstreet U.S. Ratings and Scores. A firm with a credit risk of 1 has the highest credit quality; a firm with a credit risk of 5 has the lowest credit quality.
Corp	Firm is organized as an S-corporation, C-corporation, or Limited Liability Company/Partnership (LLC/LLP)
Non-Corp	Firm is organized as a Sole-proprietorship or Partnership
Multiown	Firm has more than one owner
Intell Property	Dummy variable, equals 1 if firm reports that it has trademarks, patents, or copyrights.
Comp Advantage	Dummy variable, equals 1 if firm reports that it has a comparative advantage.
Product	Dummy variable, equals 1 if firm only sells product.
Product & Service	Dummy variable, equals 1 if firm sells product and service
Urban	Dummy variable, equals 1 if business location is in a metropolitan statistical area (MSA).

Owner Characteristics:

Primary Owner	Owner with the highest percentage of firm ownership
Ownership	Firm ownership (in %) by primary owner
Owner Age	Age of primary owner (in years)
Female	Primary owner is female
Asian	Primary owner is Asian
Black	Primary owner is Black
Hispanic	Primary owner is Hispanic
White	Primary owner is White
Other Race	Primary owner is other than White, Asian, Hispanic, or Black
High School	Primary owner is either a high school graduate, has some high school education but no diploma, or has less than 9 th grade education
College Education	Primary owner has either attended some college, has a Bachelor's degree or may have attended a graduate school but has no graduate degree
Graduate Degree	Primary owner has a graduate degree (Master's, Professional school, or Doctorate)
Prior Experience	Prior work experience (in years) of the primary owner in the same industry
Prior Start-ups	Number of prior business start-ups by the primary owner
Hours worked	Number of hours worked per week by the primary owner

<i>Industry Classifications:</i>	<i>Two-Digit NAICS Code</i>
Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing and Hunting	11
Mining and Utilities	21, 22
Construction	23
Manufacturing	31-33
Wholesale Trade	42
Retail Trade	44-45
Transportation and Warehousing	48-49
Information	51
Finance and Insurance	52
Real Estate and Rental and Leasing	53
Professional, Management, and Educational Services	54, 55, 61
Administrative and Support and Waste Management and Remediation Services	56
Health Care and Social Assistance	62
Arts, Entertainment, and Recreation	71
Accommodation and Food Services	72
Other Services, including Public Administration	81, 92

Table 2
Descriptive Statistics of Start-up Firms

The sample includes Kauffman Firm Survey 2004 start-up firms. Variable definitions are provided in Table 1. For each variable, the table presents the 25th percentile value, the mean, the median, the 75th percentile value, the standard deviation and the number of non-missing observations *N*.

Variable	25 th Percentile	Mean	Median	75 th Percentile	Standard Deviation	N
<i>Firm Characteristics:</i>						
Revenue (\$)	0	229,789	7,500	62,500	5,526,475	4,741
Net Income (\$)	-10,000	486	-300	5,000	437,137	4,586
Total Assets (\$)	3,400	347,177	20,000	76,000	5,642,656	4,818
ROA	-0.44	-20.69	-0.03	0.24	1,308.23	4,123
Cash (\$)	0	37,682	2,000	10,000	413,690	4,680
Current Assets (\$)	0	125,983	1,000	15,000	4,500,293	4,755
Tangible Assets (\$)	0	186,548	5,000	29,000	2,717,975	4,810
Corp	0.00	0.30	0.00	1.00	0.46	4,928
Credit Risk	3.00	3.40	3.00	4.00	0.72	3,606
Rural	0.00	0.17	0.00	0.00	0.37	4,928
Multiown	0.00	0.36	0.00	1.00	0.48	4,923
Ownership	50.00	80.49	100.00	100.00	27.37	4,880
<i>Primary Owner Characteristics:</i>						
Owner Age	37.00	44.99	44.00	53.00	10.88	4,860
Prior Experience	3.00	12.84	10.00	20.00	10.71	4,907
Prior Start-ups	0.00	1.02	0.00	1.00	3.17	4,893
Female	0.00	0.26	0.00	1.00	0.44	4,920
Asian	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.21	4,888
Black	0.00	0.08	0.00	0.00	0.28	4,888
Hispanic	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.23	4,888
White	1.00	0.79	1.00	1.00	0.41	4,888
Other Race	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.16	4,888
High School	0.00	0.12	0.00	0.00	0.33	4,895
College Education	0.00	0.66	1.00	1.00	0.47	4,895
Graduate Degree	0.00	0.21	0.00	0.00	0.41	4,895

Table 3
Credit Use and Firm Performance: Survival Analysis

The table reports hazard ratios from the Cox proportional hazard model. The dependent variable is the probability that firm i will be out of business at the end of year t , conditioning on firm i surviving up to time ($t = 2005-2007$). Independent variables are from KFS 2004 and are described in Table 1. N is the number of observations. z -statistics are reported in parentheses. Survey weights applied. ***, **, and * indicate statistical significance at the 1%, 5%, and 10% level, respectively.

Variables	1	2	3	4	5	6
Credit	0.851 (2.19)**					
Business Credit		0.848 (2.51)**	0.768 (3.29)***	0.799 (2.72)***	0.783 (2.68)***	
Personal Credit		1.043 (0.64)	1.102 (1.24)	1.078 (0.92)	1.026 (0.29)	
<i>Firm Characteristics:</i>						
Ln (Revenue 2004 +1)			0.993 (0.89)	0.999 (0.14)	0.996 (0.46)	0.996 (0.47)
Corp			1.110 (1.16)	1.232 (2.24)**	1.200 (1.94)*	1.094 (0.61)
Multiown			0.999 (0.01)	0.936 (0.69)	0.943 (0.61)	0.939 (0.65)
Credit Risk			1.091 (1.56)	1.080 (1.34)	1.093 (1.55)	1.092 (1.54)
Intell Property			0.809 (1.99)**	0.836 (1.66)*	0.833 (1.69)*	0.837 (1.64)
Comp Advantage			0.933 (0.84)	0.960 (0.49)	0.952 (0.58)	0.950 (0.61)
Product			1.333 (2.20)**	1.306 (1.98)**	1.261 (1.69)*	1.255 (1.66)*
Product & Service			0.693 (3.07)***	0.672 (3.24)***	0.688 (3.02)***	0.691 (2.98)***
Rural			1.053 (0.51)	0.987 (0.13)	0.986 (0.14)	0.987 (0.12)
<i>Owner Characteristics:</i>						
Female				1.172 (1.79)*	1.146 (1.53)	1.149 (1.56)
Hours Worked				0.998 (1.30)	0.997 (1.66)*	0.997 (1.64)
Prior Experience				0.984 (3.53)***	0.985 (3.24)***	0.985 (3.24)***
Prior Start-Ups				1.033 (2.56)**	1.032 (2.56)**	1.031 (2.47)**

(continues)

Table 3 (continued)

Variables	1	2	3	4	5	6
<i>Owner Characteristics:</i>						
Owner Age				0.956 (2.12)**	0.959 (1.94)*	0.961 (1.86)*
Owner Age ²				1.000 (1.96)*	1.000 (1.81)*	1.000 (1.73)*
Asian				0.537 (2.34)**	0.525 (2.45)**	0.532 (2.40)**
Black				1.116 (0.74)	1.077 (0.50)	1.070 (0.45)
Hispanic				1.240 (1.36)	1.162 (0.92)	1.158 (0.90)
Other				1.287 (1.01)	1.212 (0.77)	1.226 (0.81)
College Education				0.720 (2.93)***	0.723 (2.86)***	0.727 (2.81)***
Graduate Degree				0.635 (3.05)***	0.632 (3.06)***	0.637 (3.01)***
<i>Other Types of Financial Capital:</i>						
Trade Credit					1.066 (0.64)	1.068 (0.66)
Owners' Equity/Totcap					1.022 (0.13)	1.026 (0.16)
Outsiders' Debt/Totcap					1.038 (0.19)	1.047 (0.24)
Insider Equity					1.273 (1.46)	1.270 (1.44)
Insider Debt					1.196 (1.45)	1.199 (1.47)
Outsider Equity					0.967 (0.19)	0.969 (0.18)
Business Credit*Corp						0.875 (1.23)
Business Credit*Non-Corp						0.639 (2.91)***
Personal Credit*Corp						1.010 (0.09)
Personal Credit*Non-Corp						1.046 (0.34)
N	4,293	4,301	3,010	2,904	2,869	2,869

Table 4
Credit Use and Firm Performance: Revenue Analysis

The table reports Weighted Least Squares regressions of revenue on credit, firm, financing and owner characteristics. The dependent variable, *Revenue*, is the natural logarithm of one plus the level of revenue three years after the firm's start-up (KFS 2007). Independent variables are from KFS 2004 and are described in Table 1. *N* is the number of observations. *t*-statistics are reported in parentheses. Survey weights applied. ***, **, and * indicate statistical significance at the 1%, 5%, and 10% level, respectively. Industry dummies are included in the regressions 3-6 but are suppressed for brevity.

Variable	1	2	3	4	5	6
Credit	1.674 (5.86)***					
Business Credit		1.441 (6.49)***	0.924 (3.69)***	0.692 (2.77)***	0.682 (2.49)**	
Personal Credit		-0.153 (-0.68)	-0.526 (-2.16)**	-0.611 (-2.49)**	-0.549 (-2.11)**	
Business Credit*Corp						1.03 (3.03)***
Business Credit*Non-Corp						0.186 (0.46)
Personal Credit*Corp						-0.539 (-1.67)*
Personal Credit*Non-Corp						-0.571 (-1.43)
<i>Firm Characteristics:</i>						
Ln (Revenue 2004 +1)			0.27 (10.37)***	0.214 (7.91)***	0.209 (7.60)***	0.209 (7.62)***
Corp			1.65 (5.79)***	1.445 (4.90)***	1.392 (4.70)***	0.98 (2.12)**
Multiown			0.204 (0.72)	0.222 (0.77)	0.109 (0.38)	0.098 (0.34)
Credit Risk			-0.25 (-1.38)	-0.2 (-1.12)	-0.149 (-0.83)	-0.148 (-0.82)
Intell Property			-0.088 (0.30)	-0.13 (0.44)	-0.161 (-0.54)	-0.148 (-0.50)
Comp Advantage			0.637 (2.34)**	0.442 (1.62)	0.484 (1.76)*	0.471 (1.72)*
Product			-0.009 (-0.02)	-0.064 (-0.13)	-0.139 (-0.29)	-0.144 (-0.30)
Product & Service			0.968 (2.30)**	0.751 (1.77)*	0.724 (1.71)*	0.729 (1.73)*
Rural			-0.259 (-0.83)	-0.212 (-0.66)	-0.263 (-0.81)	-0.266 (-0.82)

(continues)

Table 4 (continued)

Variable	1	2	3	4	5	6
<i>Owner Characteristics:</i>						
Female				-0.844 (2.81)***	-0.769 (2.60)***	-0.749 (2.55)**
Hours Worked				0.027 (4.70)***	0.027 (4.47)***	0.027 (4.46)***
Prior Experience				0.01 (0.76)	0.008 (0.64)	0.008 (0.61)
Prior Start-Ups				0.055 (0.92)	0.027 (0.45)	0.028 (0.46)
Owner Age				0.001 (0.02)	-0.022 (0.29)	-0.022 (0.28)
Owner Age ²				0.000 (0.50)	0.000 (0.14)	0.000 (0.14)
Asian				-1.184 (1.58)	-1.101 (1.49)	-1.068 (1.45)
Black				-1.63 (3.28)***	-1.556 (3.11)***	-1.602 (3.21)***
Hispanic				-1.247 (2.03)**	-1.16 (1.88)*	-1.18 (1.92)*
Other				-1.447 (1.47)	-1.383 (1.39)	-1.38 (1.40)
College Education				0.031 (0.08)	-0.147 (0.37)	-0.137 (0.35)
Graduate Degree				0.362 (0.76)	0.128 (0.27)	0.162 (0.34)
<i>Other Types of Capital:</i>						
Trade Credit					0.786 (2.60)***	0.787 (2.61)***
Owners' Equity/Totcap					0.404 (0.78)	0.381 (0.73)
Outsiders' Debt/Totcap					0.497 (0.81)	0.495 (0.80)
Insider Equity					-1.189 (1.94)*	-1.193 (1.95)*
Insider Debt					0.191 (0.46)	0.189 (0.45)
Outsider Equity					1.376 (2.59)***	1.359 (2.56)**
Constant	7.54 (29.27)***	8.27 (41.60)***	4.693 (2.85)***	4.989 (2.11)**	4.938 (2.06)**	5.162 (2.13)**
N	2,899	2,903	2,054	1,980	1,953	1,953
R ²	2%	2%	17%	20%	21%	21%

Table 5
Use of Different Types of Credit at a Firm's Start-Up

The table reports the percentage of firms that use credit and certain types of credit at the firm's start-up and compares the use of credit between *Corps* and *Non-Corps*. *Corps* indicates firms that are organized as S-corporations, C-corporations, or Limited Liability Companies (Partnerships). *Non-Corps* indicates firms that are organized as sole proprietorships or partnerships. Data are from 2004 Kauffman Firm Survey. Panel A presents the distribution for all firms. Panels B and C examine firms that use some type of credit. Last column reports *z*-statistic for the difference in proportions between *Corps* and *Non-Corps*. ***, **, and * indicate that the difference is statistically significant at the 1%, 5%, and 10% level, respectively. Survey weights applied. *N/A* denotes cases with less than ten observations that cannot be reported according to the disclose rules.

Panel A: Percentage of firms that use a certain type of credit

Credit Category	Full Sample	Corps	Non-Corps	<i>z</i> -statistic
Credit (any type)	76.1%	79.6%	71.0%	6.31***
Trade Credit	23.8%	26.7%	19.7%	6.10***
Business Credit	44.4%	51.2%	34.8%	10.91***
Business Credit Card Owner Name	28.7%	33.1%	22.5%	7.42***
Business Credit Card	24.3%	27.8%	19.3%	6.94***
Business Credit Line	8.6%	11.0%	5.3%	7.27***
Business Bank Loan	6.8%	9.0%	3.7%	7.46***
Business Loan from Nonbank Institution	1.7%	2.1%	1.3%	2.94***
Business Loan from Government	0.9%	1.0%	0.7%	1.39
Business Loan from Other Businesses	0.3%	0.4%	N/A	1.34
Business Loan from Other Sources	0.5%	0.6%	0.4%	0.34
Personal Credit	54.9%	55.8%	53.7%	0.70
Personal Bank Loan by Primary Owner	17.3%	19.4%	14.4%	4.30***
Personal Bank Loan by Other Owners	2.6%	4.1%	0.5%	1.8*
Primary Owner's Credit Card	46.2%	45.1%	47.6%	2.00**
Other Owner's Credit Card	5.7%	8.1%	2.3%	2.72***
Number of Observations	4,928	3,038	1,890	

(continues)

Table 5 (continued)
Use of Different Types of Credit at a Firm's Start-Up

Panel B: Percentage of firms that use only one type of credit

Credit Category	Full Sample	Corps	Non-Corps
Trade Credit Only	5.50%	5.1%	6.0%
Business Credit Only	16.30%	17.4%	14.4%
Personal Credit Only	28.70%	23.7%	36.7%
Number of Observations	3,752	2,408	1,344

Panel C: Percentage of firms that use a combination of credit type

Credit Category	Full Sample	Corps	Non-Corps
Trade Credit and Business Credit	6.00%	7.3%	4.0%
Trade Credit and Personal Credit	7.40%	6.9%	8.2%
Business Credit and Personal Credit	23.70%	25.3%	21.1%
Trade, Business, and Personal Credit	12.40%	14.2%	9.5%
Number of Observations	3,752	2,408	1,344

Table 6

Differences between Firms that Use Credit and Firms that Do Not Use Credit: Univariate Analysis

The table reports differences in firm and owner characteristics between firms that use credit and firms that use no credit. Panel A includes the full sample of firms. Panels B and C exclude firms that use no credit. Panel B presents differences in means between firms that do, and do not, use business credit. Panel C presents differences in means between firms that do, and do not, use personal credit. In each panel, columns 1 and 2 report mean values of a given variable for firms that use (column 1), or do not use (column 2), credit. Column 3 reports *p*-values for difference-in-means *t*-tests. Column 4 reports the number of non-missing observations *N* for that variable. Variable definitions are provided in Table 1. Survey weights have been applied. ***, **, and * indicate that the difference in means is statistically significant at the 1%, 5%, and 10% level, respectively.

Variable	Panel A: Use Any Credit?				Panel B: Use Business Credit?				Panel C: Use Personal Credit?			
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
<i>Firm Characteristics:</i>	Yes	No	p-value	N	Yes	No	p-value	N	Yes	No	p-value	N
ln(Revenue+1)	7.27	4.13	0.000 ***	4,740	7.69	6.7	0.000 ***	3,618	7.05	7.86	0.000 ***	3,612
ln(Total Assets+1)	9.68	7.24	0.000 ***	4,816	10.19	8.96	0.000 ***	3,678	9.52	10.08	0.000 ***	3,671
Corp	0.29	0.23	0.000 ***	4,918	0.34	0.23	0.000 ***	3,751	0.28	0.33	0.006 ***	3,744
Credit Risk	3.4	3.56	0.000 ***	3,597	3.33	3.49	0.000 ***	2,775	3.43	3.3	0.000 ***	2,770
Rural	0.16	0.15	0.371	4,918	0.16	0.16	0.866	3,751	0.16	0.16	0.948	3,744
Multiown	0.36	0.3	0.001 ***	4,915	0.4	0.31	0 ***	3,750	0.34	0.41	0.001 ***	3,743
<i>Owner Characteristics:</i>												
Owner Age	44.53	44.43	0.817	4,855	44.64	44.35	0.475	3,709	44.38	44.88	0.263	3,702
Prior Experience	11.69	12.36	0.098 *	4,902	11.88	11.39	0.213	3,742	11.08	13.24	0.00 ***	3,735
Prior Start-ups	0.98	0.92	0.581	4,888	1	0.94	0.517	3,733	0.92	1.13	0.128	3,726
Female	0.3	0.33	0.100	4,912	0.28	0.33	0.004 ***	3,748	0.32	0.25	0.00 ***	3,741
Asian	0.05	0.04	0.387	4,880	0.05	0.04	0.096 *	3,724	0.05	0.04	0.423	3,717
Black	0.07	0.18	0.000 ***	4,880	0.05	0.08	0.003 ***	3,724	0.07	0.07	0.989	3,717
Hispanic	0.06	0.06	0.722	4,880	0.06	0.07	0.248	3,724	0.07	0.06	0.376	3,717
White	0.8	0.69	0.000 ***	4,880	0.81	0.78	0.113	3,724	0.79	0.81	0.228	3,717
Other Race	0.02	0.03	0.915	4,880	0.03	0.02	0.696	3,724	0.03	0.02	0.537	3,717
High School	0.14	0.17	0.016 **	4,889	0.13	0.14	0.382	3,734	0.13	0.14	0.491	3,727
Some College	0.37	0.4	0.111	4,889	0.34	0.41	0 ***	3,734	0.38	0.32	0.004 ***	3,727
College Degree	0.3	0.29	0.307	4,889	0.32	0.27	0.005 ***	3,734	0.29	0.33	0.033 **	3,727
College Education	0.67	0.68	0.492	4,889	0.66	0.68	0.239	3,734	0.67	0.66	0.435	3,727
Graduate Degree	0.19	0.15	0.000 ***	4,889	0.21	0.17	0.024 **	3,734	0.19	0.2	0.75	3,727

Table 7
Factors Explaining Use of Credit at a Firm's Start-Up

The table reports odds ratios from a weighted biprobit regression model with sample selection. The sample includes Kauffman Firm Survey 2004 start-up firms. Column 2 presents the results from the first stage probit model, examining the determinants of credit use. The dependent variable, *Credit*, equals 1 if the firm reports that it uses trade, business, or personal credit, and equals 0 otherwise. Column 3 presents the results from the second stage regression. The dependent variable, *Business Credit*, equals 1 if the firm reports it uses business credit, and equals 0 otherwise. Column 4 presents the results from the second stage regression. The dependent variable, *Personal Credit*, equals 1 if the firm reports it uses personal credit, and equals 0 otherwise. *t*-statistics are in parentheses. Variable definitions appear in Table 1. Industry dummies (based on two-digit NAICS code) are included but omitted from the table for the sake of brevity. *N* is the number of observations. Survey weights applied. ***, **, and * indicate statistical significance at the 1%, 5%, and 10% level, respectively.

	1st stage: Credit	2nd Stage: Business Credit	2nd Stage: Personal Credit
<i>Firm Characteristics:</i>			
Ln (Revenue 2004 +1)	1.043 (6.73)***	1.030 (5.33)***	0.977 (-1.81)*
Ln (Total Assets+1)	1.058 (5.97)***	1.077 (7.50)***	0.969 (-1.51)
Corp	1.155 (2.11)**	1.344 (4.72)***	0.973 (-0.33)
Multiown	0.953 (-0.69)	1.101 (1.54)	0.828 (-2.41)**
Credit Risk	0.886 (-2.71)***	0.890 (-2.98)***	1.104 (2.08)**
Intell Property	0.904 (-1.27)	1.102 (1.37)	1.051 (0.58)
Comp Advantage	1.151 (2.20)**	0.992 (-0.14)	0.927 (-0.97)
Product	1.385 (2.86)***	1.000 (0.00)	0.976 (-0.17)
Product & Service	0.809 (-1.95)*	1.012 (0.14)	0.971 (-0.24)
Rural	0.952 (-0.61)	0.979 (-0.29)	0.993 (-0.08)

(continues)

Table 7 (continued)

	1st stage: Credit	2nd Stage: Business Credit	2nd Stage: Personal Credit
<i>Owner Characteristics:</i>			
Female	0.941 (-0.85)	0.917 (-1.38)	1.175 (2.12)**
Hours Worked	1.004 (2.40)**	1.003 (2.27)**	1.001 (0.60)
Prior Experience	0.991 (-2.88)***	0.996 (-1.37)	0.991 (-1.82)*
Prior Start-Ups	0.996 (-0.25)	0.986 (-1.25)	0.984 (-1.43)
Owner Age	1.006 (0.34)	1.018 (1.14)	0.987 (-0.67)
Owner Age ²	1.000 (0.36)	1.000 (1.24)	1.000 (0.93)
Asian	1.003 (0.02)	1.123 (0.79)	1.038 (0.22)
Black	0.568 (-5.33)***	0.685 (-3.46)***	0.832 (-0.62)
Hispanic	0.972 (-0.21)	0.885 (-1.09)	0.97 (-0.23)
Other	1.068 (0.32)	1.279 (1.37)	1.235 (0.99)
College Education	1.196 (1.96)**	1.081 (0.94)	1.096 (0.82)
Graduate Degree	1.582 (4.01)***	1.202 (1.82)*	1.018 (0.11)
<i>Other Types of Financial Capital:</i>			
Log(Owners' Equity +1)	1.014 (1.76)*	1.006 (0.81)	1.01 (1.05)
Insider Equity	1.609 (2.85)***	1.214 (1.64)	0.956 (-0.30)
Insider Debt	0.980 (-0.22)	0.837 (-2.19)**	1.260 (2.35)**
Outsider Equity	0.909 (-0.64)	0.925 (-0.63)	1.049 (0.36)
N	3,243	3,243	3,243