

FRIDAY APRIL 7, 2017

WELCOMING REMARKS

8:45 – 9:00 a.m.

MC195

CONCURRENT SESSION 1

9:00 A.M. – 10:40 A.M.

<u>Session A</u> Room MC 371	<u>Session B</u> Room MC 375	<u>Session C</u> Room MC 381
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Neel Sukhatme, <i>Patents as Quality Signals to Consumers</i>• Jay Kesan, <i>Serial Petitioning at the PTAB</i>• Shawn Miller, <i>IPR'ed Patents 1.0: Low Quality or High Value</i>• Matthew Spitzer, <i>Patently Risky: Framing, Innovation and Entrepreneurial Preferences</i>• Michael Mattioli, <i>The Patent Outsiders</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Erik Hovenkamp, <i>Challenge Restraints and the Scope of the Patent</i>• Kristen Osenga, <i>Seeking Patent Clarity</i>• Jason Rantanen, <i>Patent Credentials</i>• Emily Morris, <i>Flexing Bayh-Doyle</i>• Jacob Sherkow, <i>Antibody Patents and Functional Claiming</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Miriam Marcowitz-Bitton, <i>Recoupment Patent</i>• Christi Guerrini, <i>Patents as a Site for Anticipatory Governance</i>• Greg Reilly, <i>Structuring Patent Adjudication</i>• Saurabh Vishnubhakat, <i>The Non-Doctrine of Redundancy</i>• Dmitry Karshtedt, <i>Regulating "Evergreening: The FDA's Role in the Creation of Balanced Rights for Pharmaceutical Improvements</i>

BREAK

10:40 A.M. – 11:00 a.m.

A VIEW FROM INDUSTRY: CURRENT PATENT ISSUES

11:00 A.M. – 12:00 P.M.

ROOM: MC 195

- Kurt Kjelland, Senior Legal Counsel, Qualcomm
- Suzanne Michel, Senior Patent Counsel, Google Inc.

LUNCH:

12:00 P.M. – 1:30 P.M.

FACULTY COMMONS

BOOK TALK:

REDESIGNING PATENT LAW (FORTHCOMING)

1:30 P.M. – 2:30 P.M.

ROOM: MC 195

- The Honorable Richard Posner, Judge, United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit
- Colleen Chien, Santa Clara Law School
- Thomas Cotter, University of Minnesota Law School

BREAK

2:30 P.M. 2:45 P.M.

CONCURRENT SESSION 2

2:45 P.M. – 3:45 P.M.

<u>Session A</u> Room MC 371	<u>Session B</u> Room MC 375	<u>Session C</u> Room MC 381
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Bernard Chao & Roderick O’Dorisio, <i>Testing the White Hat Effect in Patent Litigation</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Colleen Chien, <i>Patents and Inequality</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Joshua Sarnoff, <i>Inventive Application Revisited</i>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Christopher Cotropia, <i>Using Crowdfunding Data to Gain Insights into Patent Theory</i> • Keith Robinson & Bernhard Ganglmair, <i>Process and Product Patents: New Data and Results</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Shubha Ghosh, <i>Settlements under Section 317</i> • Qiang Yu, <i>The Effect of Abuse of the Intellectual Property Protection System on Competition: Evidence from the Chinese Pharmaceutical Market</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dariya Satikova & Kelvin Willoughby, <i>An International Comparative Analysis of How the Legal Definition of “Computer Program” Determines the Variety of Types of Intellectual Property Rights Available for the Protection of Computer Software</i> • L. Rex Sears, <i>Two Stepping with Alice in Justice Stevens’ Shadow</i>
---	---	--

BREAK

3:45 P.M. – 4:00 P.M.

PLENARY SESSION

4:00 P.M. – 5:15 P.M.

ROOM: MC 195

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Paper: David Abrams, Penn Law School, <i>Pharmaceutical Patent Citations and Real Value</i> • Discussant: Michael Frakes
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Paper: Stephen Yelderman, <i>The Value of Accuracy in the Patent System</i> • Discussant: Jason Rantanen
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Paper: Peter Lee, University of California-Davis Law, <i>The Firm Strikes Back: Vertical Integration in Patent-Intensive Industries</i> • Discussant: Liza Vertinsky

CONCURRENT SESSION 3

5:20 P.M. – 6:20 P.M.

<u>Session A</u> Room MC 371	<u>Session B</u> Room MC 375	<u>Session C</u> Room MC 381
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Thomas Cotter, <i>A Three-Step Approach to Reasonable Royalties</i>• Norman Siebrasse, <i>Economic Factors Relative to Injunctive Relief</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Michael Frakes, <i>Procrastination in the Workplace? Evidence from the U.S. Patent Office</i>• Kyle Rozema, <i>Endogenous Litigation Costs: An Empirical Analysis of Patent Disputes</i>• Andrew Torrance, <i>Trolls Are Good (at Choosing Patents)</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Richard Gruner, <i>Local Tech: Regional Concentrations of Technical Innovation</i>• Laura Pedraza-Fariña, <i>Spill Your (Trade) Secrets: Knowledge Networks as Innovation Drivers</i>• Liza Vertinsky, <i>Social Patents</i>

DINNER

SATURDAY APRIL 8, 2017

CONCURRENT SESSION 4

9:00 A.M. – 10:00 A.M.

<u>Session A</u> Room MC 371	<u>Session B</u> Room MC 375
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Timothy Lau, <i>Patent Nationalism and the Case for a New U.S. Patent Working Requirement</i>• Paul Gugliuzza, <i>The Supreme Court's Agenda in Patent Law</i>• Camilla Hrdy, <i>Technological Employment</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Ana Santos Rutschman, <i>The Intellectual Property of Ebola and Zika</i>• Christopher Holman, <i>Unraveling the Origins of the Lilly Written Description Requirement</i>• Nicholson Price, <i>Complicating the Mix of Biomedical Innovation Incentives</i>

CONCURRENT SESSION 5

10:00 A.M. – 11:00 A.M.

<u>Session A</u> Room MC 371	<u>Session B</u> Room MC 375
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Ingrid Cangelosi, <i>Patent Pool, Right to Health and Access to Medicines - Perspectives of Comparative Law in Different Countries</i>• David Olson, <i>Patented Versus Non-Patented Drugs and Regulatory Abuse in Drug Pricing</i>• Rachel Sachs, <i>The Unpatentable Microbiome</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Sarah Burstein, <i>The Nonoriginalist Case for the Original Meaning of "Article of Manufacture"</i>• David Schwartz, <i>Understanding Patent "Privateering": A Quantitative Assessment</i>

BREAK

11:00 A.M. – 11:15 A.M.

PLENARY SESSION 2
11:15 A.M. – 12:30 P.M.
ROOM: MC 195

- **Paper:** Amy Semet, Postdoctoral Research Associate, Princeton University, *Patent Law Differences Among the Federal District Courts*
- **Discussant:** Christopher Seaman

- **Paper:** Christian Helmers, Santa Clara Econ, *The Effect of Fee Shifting on Litigation: Evidence from a Court Reform in the UK*
Discussant: Jay Kesan

- **Paper:** Jonathan Masur, University of Chicago Law, *Takings, Retroactivity, and Patent Law*
Discussant: Christopher Beauchamp

CLOSING REMARKS
12:30 p.m. - 12:45 p.m.
ROOM: MC195

LUNCH
12:45 p.m. – 2:00 p.m.
FACULTY COMMONS